# **MULTISCALE SYNTHESIS AND ANALYSIS OF FRACTAL RENEWAL PROCESSES**

*Warren M. Lam and Gregory W. Wornell* 

Research Laboratory **of** Electronics Massachusetts Institute **of** Technology **Cambridge, MA 02139** 

#### **ABSTRACT**

A novel multiscale framework is introduced for the representation of a class of fractal point processes. Using this framework, efficient algorithms are developed for the synthesis of fractal point processes from a mixture of Poisson processes. Multiscale analysis algorithms are **also** developed within this framework for computing Maximum-Likelihood fractal dimension estimates of such processes from corrupted observations.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Point processes with fractal characteristics are promising models for a wide range of natural and man-made phenomena, including distributions of stars and planets in the universe, transmission errors in many communication channels, and impulsive spikes in auditory neural signals [l] **[2]**  [3] **[4].** In contrast to fractal waveforms, which have **been**  explored in considerable depth (see, e.g., [5]), the development of efficient algorithms for synthesizing, analyzing, and processing fractal point processes **has** generally proven difficult, largely due to the lack of an adequate mathematical framework. In this paper, we present a novel and rather natural multiscale framework for the study of an important class of fractal point processes, and describe some practical and efficient **signal** processing algorithms that arise out of this framework.

**Before** we present our main results, we briefly **summa**rize some terminology and notation for the paper. In general, a point process refers to **a** collection of points, typically called "arrivals," that are randomly distributed over some multidimensional space. For simplicity, we shall restrict **our** discussion to the one-dimensional case and refer to the underlying space **as** time t. For convenience, we will **also**  choose the time origin to coincide with an arrival referred to as the zeroth arrival, and consider only  $t \geq 0$ . In this case it is useful to characterize a point process in terms of the collection of time intervale between arrivals. In particular, we use  $X[n]$  for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  to denote the nth interarrival time-specifically, the time interval between the  $(n - 1)$ st and nth arrivals. An equivalent characterization of **a** point process is in terms of  $N_X(t)$ , the total number of arrivals

**0-7803-1948-6/94** *\$4.00* (D 1994 **IEEE** *67* 

that have occurred up to and including time t. The counting process  $N_X(t)$  is a discrete-valued continuous-time random process whose generalized derivative consists of **a** train of unit impulses located **at** the arrival instants.

# **2. A FRACTAL POINT PROCESS MODEL**

The point processes **of** interest in this work *are* those that possess a key self-similarity property. Formally, a self-simifar point process is defined to be a point process that *is*  statistically scale-invariant in the strict sense, *so* that the associated counting process  $N_X(t)$  obeys, for all  $a > 0$ ,

$$
N_X(t) \stackrel{p}{=} N_X(at)
$$

where the notation  $\frac{p}{q}$  denotes equality in the sense of all finite-dimensional distributions.

Many physical phenomena of interest exhibit **no** preference for **a** space or time origin. Consequently, we are generally interested in point process models that **are** characterized by some form **of** stationarity. Since renewal processesized by some form of stationarity. Since renewal processes—<br>*i.e.*, processes with independent, identically-distributed in-<br>terarrivals — are widely used to generate stationary point process models, it is tempting to restrict our attention to those self-similar point processes that *are* simultaneously renewal processes. However, it is straightforward to show **no**  nontrivial self-similar point processes **are** bona fide renewal processes (see, e.g., [6]).

Fortunately, a weaker but still highly meaningful form of stationarity can be imposed by generalizing the notion of a renewal process. **This** notion is baeed **on** a characterization of the point process after subcolledions of interarrival intervals are discarded. Specifically, we say a point process is conditionally-renewing *if* it has the following properties:

- 1. When interarrivals not in the range  $(\underline{x},\overline{x})$  are discarded, for some  $0 < \underline{x} < \overline{x} < \infty$ , the resulting process is **a** renewal process; and
- **2.**  Any finite collection of point processes, the ith process of which is derived by **removing** interarrivals not in some range  $(\underline{x}_i, \overline{x}_i)$  for some  $0 < \underline{x}_i < \overline{x}_i < \infty$ , are mutually independent when the ranges  $(\underline{x}_i, \overline{x}_i)$  for distinct *i* are nonoverlapping.

It is insightful to note that the conditioning in this definition is physically rather natural. Indeed, in empirical tests for renewal behavior in many physical point processes, limitations **on** data resolution and duration typically preclude the

**This work has bean** supported in part **by** the Advanced Re*search* Projects **Agency** monitored **by** ONR under Contract **No. N00014-93-1-0686, and** the **Air** Force *office* **of** Scientific **Rimarch under** Grant **No. AFOSR-91-0034.** 

measurements of very short and very long interarrivals. It is also worth noting that **our** definition is closely related to the concept of conditional stationarity developed by Mandelbrot in *[2].* 

In the sequel, we restrict our attention to those selfsimilar point processes which are conditionally-renewing. **For** convenience, we shall refer to this class of processes **as**  simply *fractol* **renewal processes.** From this definition, it *can* be shown **[SI** that these processes have the key property that upon removal of the interarrivals not in the range  $(x_L, x_H]$ , where  $x_L$  and  $x_H$  are *any* constants such that  $0 < x_L < x_H < \infty$ , the resulting renewal process has interarrivals Y[n] distributed according to the probability density function

$$
f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} \sigma^2/y^{\gamma} & x_L < y \leq x_H \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$
 (1)

where  $\sigma^2$  is a normalization constant. The shape parameter  $\gamma$  of this power-law distribution often lies between 1 and **2,** and is related to the fractal dimension *D* of the point process via

$$
\gamma = D + 1.
$$

## **3. A SYNTHESIS ALGORITHM FOR FRACTAL RENEWAL PROCESSES**

**In** this section, we develop **a** multiscale synthesis for fractal renewal processes. **This** synthesis involves the mixture *of* a continuum collection of constituent processes indexed by a real variable  $a \in [a, \bar{a}]$ . These constituents are obtained from different dilations of independent sample functions derived **from** a prototype Poisson process with mean arrival rate  $\lambda$ . Specifically, the interarrivals  $W_a[n]$  of each constituent are related to the interarrivals *W[n]* of the prototype by

$$
W_a[n] \stackrel{\mathcal{V}}{=} e^a W[n].
$$

Since the amount of expansion increases with *a,* the real constants *a* and *B can* be interpreted **a8** the indices *of* the finest and coarsest scales, respectively.

Using this family of Poisson processes, a point process is generated **as** follows. The synthesis is initialized by locating the zeroth arrival of the output at the **origin.** For the generation of each subsequent arrival, a constituent process is first selected independently from a generalized exponential probability density function

$$
f_A(a) = \begin{cases} \sigma_A^2 \exp[-(\gamma - 1)a] & \underline{a} \le a \le \overline{a} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

where  $\sigma_A^2$  is a normalization factor, and  $\gamma$  is a free parameter. The nth arrival of the output is then set at the first arrival time of the selected constituent process following the  $(n - 1)$ st arrival time of the output.

In [6] we show that the point process generated in this manner is a renewal process with the property that, as  $\underline{a} \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $\overline{a} \rightarrow \infty$ , the probability density function of its interarrivals *X[n]* is a power-law, **i.e.,** 

$$
\frac{f_X(x)}{\sigma_A^2} \to \frac{\sigma_0^2}{x^\gamma} \tag{3}
$$



Figure 1: Interrarival density, *discrete* synthesis.

for  $x > 0$ , where

$$
\sigma_0^2 = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma - 1)}{\lambda^{\gamma - 1}}.
$$
 (4)

**More** generally, we note that (3) with **(4)** is a good approximation to the interarrival density for values of **z** satisfying

$$
e^{\underline{a}}/\lambda \ll x \ll e^{\overline{a}}/\lambda.
$$

Consequently, the values of  $q$  and  $\bar{a}$  required in practice depend **on** the interarrival range of interest.

**Very** useful approximations to fractal renewal process behavior are **obtained** when the continuum of constituents in the preceding synthesis is replaced with a discrete **col**lection of constituents, which we index using the integer variable  $m \in \{m, m+1, \ldots, \overline{m}\}.$  In this case, the interarrivals *Wm[n]* of *each of* these constituents are related to the interarrivals *W[n] of* the prototype via

$$
W_m[n] \stackrel{p}{=} \rho^m W[n],
$$

where the constant  $\rho > 1$  governs the spacing between the constituents. The integers  $m$  and  $\overline{m}$  can then be regarded **as** the indices of the finest and coarsest scales, respectively. The mixing of the constituents is carried out **as** in the continuum case, but with the integer-valued selection random variables  $M_n$  distributed according to the generalized geo-

metric probability mass function  
\n
$$
P\{M=m\} = \begin{cases} \sigma_M^2 \rho^{-(\gamma-1)m} & m = m, m+1, \dots, \overline{m} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

where  $\sigma_M^2$  is a normalization factor.

a renewal process with the property that, as  $m \to -\infty$  and  $\overline{m}\rightarrow\infty,$  its interarrival density satisfies As shown in [6], the process synthesized in this way is<br>a renewal process with the property that, as  $\underline{m} \to -\infty$  and  $\overline{m} \to \infty$ , its interarrival density satisfies

$$
\frac{\sigma_1^2}{x^{\gamma}} \le \frac{f_X(x)}{\sigma_M^2} \le \frac{\sigma_2^2}{x^{\gamma}}
$$
 (5)

for some constants  $0 < \sigma_1^2 \leq \sigma_2^2 < \infty$ , and for every  $x > 0$ . Again, we stress that the number of scales required in practice depends **on** the interarrival range of interest. Fig. 1 shows the interarrival density corresponding to the case  $\rho = 10$  and  $\gamma = 1.2$ . As one would anticipate, the ripple periodicity is  $\log \rho$ . Furthermore, it is worth noting that ripple size decreases rapidly as  $\rho \rightarrow 1$ , leading to increasingly fine approximations. When  $\rho = 2$ , for example, the approximation is essentially perfect; indeed, numerical calculations yield  $\log(\sigma_2^2/\sigma_1^2) = 3.2e-5$  for the case  $\gamma = 1.2!$ Not surprisingly, decreasing  $\rho$  involves a tradeoff--finer approximations at the expense of requiring more constituents for a given interarrival range of interest.

As a final remark, we note that a statistically equivalent but more efficient implementation of the multiscale synthe**sis** arises by exploiting the memoryless property of Poisson processes. In particular, we can generate a fractal renewal process from just a single prototype Poisson process. With this method, the nth interarrival interval of the Poisson process is stretched by the factor  $\rho^{m_n}$ , where  $m_n$  is the value of the nth selection random variable. From this point of view, there **are** interesting connections between **our** construction and the construction of Johnson, *et al.*[7] based **on** nonhomogeneous Poisson processes.

#### **4. A PARAMETER ESTIMATION ALGORITHM FOR FRACTAL RENEWAL PROCESSES**

In this section, we demonstrate how the discrete multiscale representation developed in Section 3 can be exploited in the estimation of the shape parameter  $\gamma$  associated with a fractal renewal process. As discussed in Section **2,** this parameter **is** directly related to the fractal dimension of the process and in general captures useful information about the pattern *of* arrivals in the associated point process. In addition, estimates of  $\gamma$  are required in intermediate stages *of* many detection and estimation problems involving such processes.

In the sequel, we present a Maximum-Likelihood (ML) algorithm for estimating  $\gamma$  based on observations of interarrivals. For robustness, the observations will be modeled **as** distorted. In particular, we assume observations of the form

$$
Y[n] = X[n] + W[n], \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots, N,
$$

where  $\{X[n]; n = 1, 2, ..., N\}$  are the interarrivals of a fractal renewal process and  $\{W[n]; n = 1, 2, ..., N\}$  are "noise" terms. We restrict our attention to the case in which the  $W[n]$  are both mutually independent and independent of the interarrivals  $X[n]$ , and are identically distributed according to the probability density function

$$
f_W(w) = \begin{cases} \alpha \exp(-\alpha w) & w \ge 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

This noise component *can* be used for modeling a variety of natural effects that arise in applications, such **as** a random processing delay in **an** interarrival measurement transducer.

For convenience, we formulate **our** problem in terms of a new parameter  $\beta$  defined as

$$
\beta=\rho^{1-\gamma},
$$

keeping in mind that the ML estimate of  $\gamma$  can be obtained from the resulting ML estimate of  $\beta$  via

$$
\hat{\gamma}_{ML} = 1 - \log \hat{\beta}_{ML} / \log \rho.
$$

In addition to  $\gamma$ , the parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  are generally unknown a **priori,** and need to be estimated. Consequently, we represent the collection of parameters to be jointly estimated with the vector  $\Theta = (\lambda, \alpha, \beta)^T$ . We also note that without loss of generality we may set  $m = 1$  (and appropriately scale  $\lambda$ ). The total number of scales required, which we denote by *L,* is typically determined from the spread of the data. As will become apparent, overestimating *L* generally does not affect the estimation performance, though the corresponding algorithm is less efficient in terms of both computation and storage.

Direct calculation of the ML parameter estimates is difficult in general. However, these estimates can be efficiently computed using an iterative Estimate-Maximize (EM) algorithm [8]. **In** our description of the algorithm, we use  $\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}}_{[r]} = (\hat{\lambda}_{[r]}, \hat{\alpha}_{[r]}, \hat{\beta}_{[r]})^T$  to denote the estimates obtained at iteration *r*, and, for convenience,  $\hat{\lambda}_{m[r]}$  to denote  $\hat{\lambda}_{[r]}\rho^{-m}$ . Each iteration of the resulting estimation algorithm consists of two steps.

**E-Step.** Using the current set of parameter estimates, for each *m* and *n* estimate the probability that interarrival  $x[n]$ was derived from scale *m* given the observation y[n], *i.e.,* 

$$
P(m|y[n];\hat{\Theta}_{[r]}) = \sigma_i^2 \frac{1-\hat{\beta}_{[r]}}{1-\hat{\beta}_{[r]}} \hat{\beta}_{[r]}^{m-1} \frac{\hat{\lambda}_{m[r]} \hat{\alpha}_{[r]}}{\hat{\lambda}_{m[r]} - \hat{\alpha}_{[r]}} \times \left[\exp(-\hat{\alpha}_{[r]}y[n]) - \exp(-\hat{\lambda}_{m[r]}y[n])\right]
$$

where  $\sigma_i^2$  is a normalization constant and provided  $\lambda_{m[r]} \neq$  $\hat{\alpha}_{[r]}$ . When  $\hat{\lambda}_{m[r]} = \hat{\alpha}_{[r]}$ , we use the alternative expression

$$
P(m|y[n];\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}}_{[r]}) = \tilde{\sigma}_i^2 \frac{1 - \hat{\beta}_{[r]}}{1 - \hat{\beta}_{[r]}^{L}} \hat{\beta}_{[r]}^{m-1} \hat{\lambda}_{m[r]}^2 y[n] \exp(-\hat{\lambda}_{m[r]} y[n])
$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma}_i^2$  is again a normalization constant.

**M-Step.** Using the preceding table of probability estimates, new estimates of the parameters are computed via

$$
\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_{[r+1]}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{L} P(m|y[n]; \hat{\Theta}_{[r]}) \rho^{-m} \times E[x[n]|y[n], m; \hat{\Theta}_{[r]}]
$$
  

$$
\frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_{[r+1]}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{L} P(m|y[n]; \hat{\Theta}_{[r]}) \times \left(y[n] - E[x[n]|y[n], m; \hat{\Theta}_{[r]}]\right)
$$
  

$$
\frac{1}{1 - \hat{\beta}_{[r+1]}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{L} P(m|y[n]; \hat{\Theta}_{[r]}) m
$$

where

$$
E\left[x[n]|y[n], m; \hat{\Theta}\right] = \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_m - \hat{\alpha}} - \frac{y[n]}{\exp\left((\hat{\lambda}_m - \hat{\alpha})y[n]\right) - 1}
$$



Figure **2:** Dependence **of** parameter estimator performance *on N* and  $\gamma$ ;  $\rho = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 1/15$ 

provided  $\hat{\lambda}_m \neq \hat{\alpha}$ ; otherwise,

$$
E[x[n]|y[n], m; \hat{\Theta}] = y[n]/2.
$$

Straightforward variants of this algorithm apply when some of the parameters are known a priori. In particular, parameter estimates of any known parameters in the algorithm *am* **replaced** with their true values in both the **E** and M-steps, and the corresponding parameter update in the M-step is omitted. In all **cases,** the EM algorithm increases the likelihood function at each iteration and **con**verges to the ML estimates. Not surprisingly, however, the convergence rate of the algorithm generally improves when some of the parameter values *are* **known.** 

In some preliminary experiments, the dyadic version  $(\rho = 2)$  of this algorithm was tested on simulated data. While all three parameters  $\lambda$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  were assumed unknown and were estimated throughout the experiments, we focus on the performance of estimates for  $\beta$  (and, hence,  $\gamma$ ) since this is the primary parameter of interest.

In one **set** of experiments, we investigated the estimator performance as a function of sample size  $N$  and  $\gamma$ , with the noise parameter fixed at  $\alpha = 15^{-1}$ . To ensure that modeling **error** effects were included in these tests, both the power-law random Variables and exponential noise terms in the test data were synthesized via transformation of uniform random variables. The results of the experiments *are*  shown in Fig. 2. The RMS errors of the  $\gamma$  estimates were taken over 64 Monte Carlo trials. As we would expect, bet**ter** estimates *are* obtained when larger data sets are available. The apparent relationship between performance and the shape parameter  $\gamma$  can be understood as follows. When  $\gamma \approx 1$ , extremely long and extremely short interarrivals occur with comparable frequency. In this case, very few data points are suflicient to capture the behavior of the probability density function over a broad range. For  $\gamma \approx 2$ , however, short interarrivals predominate over longer **ones.**  Hence, **a** narrower range **af** the distribution **is** generally **ob**served, making it difficult to accurately estimate  $\gamma$ .

In a separate set of experiments, the effects of the quantity  $\alpha/\lambda$  were examined, and the corresponding results are



Figure 3: Dependence of parameter estimator performance *on*  $\alpha/\lambda$ ;  $\rho = 2$ 

shown in Fig. **3. As** before, the estimator variance was taken from 64 trials. Since large values of  $\alpha/\lambda$  correspond to low distortion in observations, the performance of the estimator improves **as** this quantity increases. Note that to allow specification of the true value of  $\lambda$ , the synthesis of the power-law random variables was based **on** the multiscale framework in this case.

These results, together with the results of a more extensive evaluation of this algorithm contained in **[6],** suggest that these multiscale estimation algorithms are robust, computationally efficient, and highly practical for a variety of applications.

### **REFERENCES**

- **B. B.** Mandelbrot, *The hctal Geometry* of *Nature.* **San**  Francisco, CA: Freeman, **1982.**
- **B. B.** Mandelbrot, "Self-similar error clusters in communication systems and the concept of conditional stationarity," IEEE Trans. Commun. Technol., vol. COM-13, pp. **71-90,** Mm. **1965.**
- [3] M. C. Teich, "Fractal character of the auditory neural spike train," *IEEE* **hns.** *Biomed. Eng.,* pp. **150-160,**  Jan. **1989.**
- **M.** Schroeder, *hctals,* Chaos, *Power Laws.* New York, **NY:** W. H. Freeman, **1991.**
- **G. W. Womell,** "Wavelet-based representations for the **l/f** family of fractal processes," Proc. *IEEE,* vol. **81,**  pp. **1428-1450,** Oct. **1993.**
- [6] W. M. Lam and G. W. Wornell, "Multiscale representations for a class of fractal point processes," IEEE Trans. *Sagnal Processing,* June **1994.** Submitted for publication.
- **D. H. Johnson** and A. R. Kumar, "Modeling and analyzing fractal point processes," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Acouet. Speech, Signal Proceestng,* **1990.**
- **N.** M. Laird, **A. P.** Dempster, and **D. B.** Rubin, "Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm," *Ann.* **Roy.** Stat. *Soc.,* pp. **1-38,** Dec. **1977.**